

FIRST DATA ON THE TROPHIC ECOLOGY OF THE GREAT CORMORANT (*PHALACROCORAX CARBO*) IN ALGERIA

Leila BELFETHI^{1*}, Riadh MOULAI^{1*}

¹Laboratoire de Zoologie Appliquée et d'Ecophysiologie Animale, Faculté des Sciences de la Nature et de la Vie, Université de Bejaia 06000 Bejaia, Algérie

Abstract: This is the first study on the diet of the great cormorant wintering in Algeria. It is carried out in Beni Haroun, the largest dam lake in the country, in the North-East of Algeria. The trophic menu of *Phalacrocorax carbo* in this lake is based on four species of fish: *Abramis brama*, *Carassius carassius*, *Barbus barbus*, and *Cyprinus carpio*. However, *Barbus barbus* and *Carassius carassius* represent the two most important species in the great cormorant's diet. The total consumed biomass is 155,364.18 g, and the average biomass contained in each pellet varies between 330.7 and 2,953 g. The biomass of consumed fish varies between 36.89 g and 2,501 g. The size of the caught fish records values between 13.7 cm and 52.49 cm. The number of consumed fish per pellet varies between 1 and 9. The results show that the great cormorant of Beni-Haroun dam lake consumes between 573 g and 2,353.3 g of fish per day and between 49.8 t and 185 t tonnes each month. However, the highest value is recorded in January (between 81.5 and 300.5 t). The great cormorant of Beni-Haroun dam lake could have a significant impact on continental and recreational fishing at this site.

Keywords: *Phalacrocorax carbo*, diet, Algeria, Fish, Biomass.

INTRODUCTION

The great cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*) is a widespread large aquatic bird, that lives on the coastal and continental waters of Eurasia, Africa, and North America (Klimaszyk and Rzymiski, 2016). In Algeria, the great cormorant is a common wintering bird, between October and March. It lives on coastal and continental freshwaters. Most winter visitors are from Northern Europe (Isenmann and Moali, 2000). Recent censuses of the wintering population of great cormorant in Algeria, gave a number of 5250 individuals, with nearly 70% wintering in Beni-Haroun dam lake in the North-East of the country (DGF, 2013; Belfethi and Moulai, 2018).

The great cormorant is considered a pest bird, because of its impact on soil chemistry, especially under dormitories, but also on the transfer of nutrients from the soil to the aquatic ecosystem (Klimaszyk and Rzymiski, 2016). The diet of this species is mainly composed of fish (Ichthyophagus), explaining the concern of fishermen and fish farmers for the large increase in the population of cormorants, which can have a significant impact on the fishing sector (Lebreton and Gerdeaux, 1996; Billard, 1995).

The study of the trophic ecology of *Phalacrocorax carbo* has never been approached in its wintering area in North Africa and more particularly in Algeria. The purpose of this study was to assess the fish consumption (diversity, abundance, and biomass) of

the *P. carbo* population in Beni-Haroun dam lake, which harbors the largest population of great cormorants in Algeria.

Study site

Beni Haroun dam is a large strategic hydraulic complex in Algeria. It is located in the department of Mila of Northeast Algeria (ANB, 2002). Its geographic coordinates are 36°33'50 "N6 '16'35" E. It is considered the second-largest Algerian artificial wetland on the African continent (after the Al Sad El Alli dam in Egypt). It covers an area of 5,328 km². This dam is located 40 km North of Constantine (ANDI, 2013) (Fig. 1). Fed by two main arms of wad Rhumel, and wad Endja (ANB, 2002). It is characterized by a Mediterranean climate (Djeddi et al., 2018). The average temperature varies from about 5°C in January to about 25°C in August. The average precipitation near the center of the basin varies from about 7 mm/month in July, to about 80 mm/month in December; relative humidity varies between 50% in August, and 70% between November and March (ANB, 2002). On the lakeshore, there is agricultural land, forest, and pre-forest formations, and the main existing species are: *Olea europea*, *Pinus halepensis*, *Tamarix africana*, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, and *Populus alba*. Most of these trees are used as dormitories and resting places by great cormorants (Fig. 1).

*Correspondence: Leila Belfethi, Laboratoire de Zoologie Appliquée et d'Ecophysiologie Animale, Faculté des Sciences de la Nature et de la Vie, Université de Bejaia 06000 Bejaia, Algérie; e-mail: belfethi44@gmail.com; Riadh Moulai, moulai741@hotmail.com.




Fig. 1. The geographical location of Beni-Haroun Dam Lake in Algeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study of the trophic ecology of the great cormorant is carried out by analyzing fish otoliths contained in the regurgitated pellets. Cormorant’s pellets were collected under the dormitories between October 2016, and January 2017. It is noted that only fresh pellets are selected. A total of 75 pellets were thus recovered.

In the laboratory, each pellet was placed separately in a solution of water and alcohol, then the mucus was eliminated and the solid residues were preserved. Otoliths were isolated and identified under a microscope. The pairs of otoliths and their number were determined and numbered in each pellet. Each pair of otoliths represents a fish. The otoliths were identified using reference otoliths extracted from the

fish species that live in Beni-Haroun Dam Lake (Barquete et al., 2008).

The length of the otoliths is calculated using a micrometric microscope, which makes it possible to measure the length of the consumed fish and their biomass, using the equations presented below (Table 1). Whereas, to determine the importance of the fish species found in the great cormorant’s diet, it is necessary to calculate the contribution of each fish by the number (N and N%), contribution by the biomass (M and M%), and the frequency of occurrence (FO) and relative (FO%).

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to measure the variations between the lengths and the biomass of the consumed fish, as well as the monthly variations of these two parameters.

Table 1.

Relationship between (otolith length/fish length) and (fish biomass/fish length) of consumed fish by the great cormorant. (TL: fish length, OtL: otolith length, BM: fish biomass)

Species	TL · OtL	References	BM · TL	References
<i>Abramis brama</i>	TL= 4,655 OTL 1,180	(Yilmaz et al.,2015)	BM=0,0207 TL 3,080	(Khritenko et Kotovska, 2016)
<i>Carassius carassius</i>	TL= 4,828 OTL 1,180	(YILMAZ ET al., 2015)	BM=0,0214 TL 2,945	(Bobori et al., 2010)
<i>Barbus barbus</i>	FL= 16,1 OTL -10,3	(Bostanci, 2009)	BM= 0,0069 TL 3,232	(Amoueiet al., 2013)
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	OTL=0,104 FL+0,551	(Kontas et Bostanci, 2015)	BM= 0,01 TL 2,972	(Prokes et al., 2006)

Relative importance is also calculated (IRI and IRI%) by the equation of Buttú et al., (2013):

$$IRI = (N\% + M\%) \cdot FO\%$$

$$IRI\% = \frac{IRI}{\sum IRI} \cdot 100$$

M%: Average weight in percentage,

N%: Average number in percentage,

FO%: Percentage Frequency of Occurrence.

The individual consumption of the great cormorant is calculated using two methods. The first consists of

calculating the consumed energy by a single Cormorant per day (FMR) according to the equation of Ellis and Gabriels (2002).

$$FMR = 16.69 \cdot m^{0.651}$$

m: Biomass of the great cormorant in g.

In this study, the used great cormorant’s weight to calculate FMR is 2210 g according to Liordos and Goutner (2008), who has studied the average weight of cormorants. On the other hand, the second method to calculate daily consumption consists of using the

average biomass of the collected pellets. Monthly consumption is calculated using the equation of Barquete et al., (2008).

$$Cm = Cd * t * n.$$

Cd: Daily consumption of a single Cormorant per g.

t: Length of the month (28, 30, or 31).

n: Number of great cormorants in the specified month.

RESULTS

Of the 75 collected pellets of the great cormorant, nine (9) did not contain otoliths, and of the 223 otoliths extracted, only 210 could be identified. The total biomass of consumed fish is estimated at 155,364.18 g (minimum 330.7 g - maximum 6,006.17 g). A percentage of 72.7% of consumed fish have a weight between 330.7 g and 2,953 g (the weights 330.7 g to 869.6 g and 1,536.3 g to 2,953 g represent 22.7%, and 33% respectively). It is followed by the weight class which varies between 3007.7 g to 4,820.1 g or 21.2% (4,025.5 g to 4,619.7 g represents 13.6 %). Finally, 6% of consumed fish have a biomass that varies from 5,013.5 g to 6,006.17 g.

The consumed biomass varies monthly. In October, 60% of the fish have a weight that varies between 2,378.7 g and 4,619.7 g. In November, 70% of the pellets weigh between 752.8 g and 2,713.5 g. In December, 64.7% of the fish biomass varied between 330.7 g and 1,713.7 g, of which 41.2% varied from 330.7 g to 880.6 g. While in January, 78.9% of pellets

weigh between 330.7 and 2,735.64 g, and of them 42% have an estimated weight between 1,536.3 g and 2,388 g.

The diet of the great cormorant that winters in Beni Haroun dam lake is entirely composed of fish. They are represented by four (4) species: *Abramis brama*, *Carassius carassius*, *Barbus barbus*, and *Cyprinus carpio*. The most consumed species in number and in biomass is *Barbus barbus*, with about 64 individuals (31.9%), and 45934.98 g of biomass which represents 29.6%. In the second position comes *Cyprinus carpio*, with 57 individuals (27.14%). However, in terms of biomass, it is *Carassius carassius* which comes in second place with 39,883.98 g or 25.7% of the total biomass. *Abramis brama* is the least consumed in number and biomass with 14.76%, and 19.5% respectively. It is noted that these consumed fish are all present in Beni Haroun dam lake. The latter was introduced mainly for the needs of inland and recreational fisheries.

According to the values of the occurrence frequency and the centesimal frequency, we can say that *Barbus barbus* (FO = 74.24, FO% = 33.35 and IRI% = 39), and *Carassius carassius* (FO = 62.12, FO% = 27.9 and IRI% = 27.5) are the most represented species in the great cormorant's diet that winters in Beni Haroun dam lake. *Abramis brama* is the least consumed species and represents the lowest relative importance (IRI% = 9.3) (Table 2).

Table 2.

Diet composition of the great cormorant wintering in Beni-Haroun dam lake in Algeria (N: effective, N %: Average number in percentage, M: biomass in g, M%: Average weight in percentage, FO: Occurrence frequency, and relative (FO%), IRI: Relative Importance, and relative (IRI%))

Species	N	N%	M	M%	FO	FO%	IRI	IRI%
<i>Barbus barbus</i>	67	32	45935	29,6	74,24	33,35	2060,3	39
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	57	27	39261	25,3	54,5	24,5	1284,8	24,4
<i>Carassius carassius</i>	55	26	39884	25,7	62,12	27,9	1447,7	27,5
<i>Abramis brama</i>	31	15	30284	19,5	31,8	14,3	489,9	9,3

The otolith analysis also allowed us to estimate the length of the consumed fish (Table 3). The length of the fish varies between 13.7 cm and 52.49 cm. The length of 48% of fish varies between 30 cm and 39.6 cm (the length 38 cm represents on its own 13.33 %). It is followed by the length which varies between 40 cm and 49.27 cm (29.2 %). Finally, we note that 10.9 % of fish present a length of 42.8 cm. The length of the eaten fish varies from month to month. In October, fish length varies from 20.7 cm to 39.6 cm and represents 57.4 % (14.9 % have a length of 38 cm). Next comes the consumption of fish whose length varies from 40.9 cm to 52.5 cm (31.9 %). (The length of 42.8 cm represents 12.8 %). In November, fish with a length ranging from 30 to 38.5 cm are consumed with a percentage of 46.8 % (the length of 38 cm represents 14.5 %). 40.3 % of fish have a length that varies from 40.8 cm to 52.4 cm (the lengths 42.8 cm and 43.7 cm represent respectively 12.9 % and 11.3 %). In December, the great cormorant prefers fish whose

length varies from 31 cm to 38.6 cm or 67.4 % (the lengths 36.2 cm and 38 cm represent 16.3 % and 11.6 % respectively). In January, it feeds on fish whose length varies from 30.8 cm to 39.58 cm, or 50 % (the length 38 cm represents 12%) (Table 3).

The average length of *Barbus barbus* that is consumed by the great cormorant is 41.9 cm (min. 15.9 cm, max. 52.4 cm). The length of 60 % of *Barbus barbus* varies between 42.8 cm and 47.6 cm, 16.4 % of it belongs to the length of 42.8 cm. In October and November, the great cormorant consumed mainly *Barbus barbus* whose length varied between 40.9 cm and 47.58 cm or 65 % (the length 42.8 cm presents 26.6 %). For the months of December and January, it appears that the length of the consumed *Barbus barbus* was smaller compared to the previous months. It varied between 28.4 to 42.8 cm which represents 74.5 %. The lengths 33.16 cm and 42.8 cm represent 21 % and 30.3 % respectively (Table 3).

The average length of the consumed *Cyprinus carpio* is 33.74 cm (minimum 18.7, maximum 52.49 cm). It should be noted that the length of 75.4% of the consumed *Cyprinus carpio* varies between 29.95 cm and 38 cm. Between October and January, measurements of 67 % of the consumed *Cyprinus carpio* vary between 29.95 and 38 cm.

For the estimated lengths for *Carassius carassius* and *Abramis brama*, they appear to be smaller than those measured in the two previous species. *Carassius carassius* with a minimum of 16.6 cm, a maximum of 43.7 cm, and an average of 33.89 cm. *Abramis brama* with a minimum of 13.7 cm, a maximum of 42.8 cm, and an average of 32.74 cm. A percentage of 64.2 % of *Carassius carassius* represent a length between 30.8 cm and 43 cm during the four months of study (Table 3).

The average biomass of the consumed fish is 685.6 g (minimum 36.89 g, maximum 2501 g). 54% of the consumed fish weigh between 517.8 g and 980 g. The weights 704.7 g and 880.5 g represent 10 % and 11 %, respectively. During the months of October, November, and December 59 % of the consumed fish weighed between 517.8 g and 977.4 g. In January, it appears that the biomass of consumed fish has decreased; it varies between 148.3 g and 494.5 g (Table 3).

The average biomass of *Barbus barbus* in great cormorant's diet is 685.6 g (min. 36.89 g, max. 1,289.4 g). In October and November, 65 % of *Barbus barbus* consumed weighed between 614.7 g and 967.7 g. In the months of December and January, we have a decrease in the consumed biomass. The latter varies between 207.6 g and 704.7 g.

The biomass of consumed *Cyprinus carpio* varies from 88.7 g to 2,501 g. For an average of 688.8 g. During the four months of the study, 66.8 % of *Cyprinus carpio* weighed between 407.9 and 880.5 g. The weight of 880.5 g represents a frequency of 40 %.

For the species *Carassius carassius*, the consumed biomass varies between 83.8 g and 1,451.8 g, with an average of 725.16 g. In October, November, and December, 66.5% of the ingested biomass by this fish varies between 591.6 g and 1385.3 g. The weight of 881.6 g represents 36.8 %. In January, 57.14% of *Cyprinus*, weights varied between 517.9 g and 1,083.9 g.

The average consumed biomass of *Abramis brama* is 976.9 g (min. 65.98, max. 1,897.9 g). In October, this fish has a lower consumed biomass compared to other species where 80 % of individuals have weights varying between 65.89 g and 364.8 g. Between November and January, consumed biomass shows a certain increase compared to October (83.4 % of individuals have weights varying between 704.7 g and 1,790.7 g).

By examining the results of the standard deviation (Table 3), it appears that the length and the biomass of the consumed fish are close to the average, particularly in the months of November, December and January. The applied ANOVA shows that there is a significant difference between the lengths of the consumed fish ($p = 0.02$) and between their biomass ($p = 0.48$). The same applies for monthly variations in length ($p = 0.32$) and in biomass ($p = 0.25$) where the differences are always significant.

The daily calculated energy of the great cormorant by (FMR) is 2,509.9 kJ / D-1 and its daily and monthly average consumption is 573 g per day and 49.8 t respectively. The highest consumption rate was recorded in January (81.5 t). Concerning the daily consumption of the great cormorant, calculated by using contained biomass in the pellet, it ranges from 29.53 g to 330.7 g (72.7% of the pellet). Average daily and monthly consumption was 2,353.5 g, and 185 t respectively, and the highest consumption rate was recorded in January (300.5 t). Overall consumption during the study period is estimated at 780 t (Fig. 2.).

Table 3.

The length and the biomass of consumed fish by the great cormorant in Beni Haroun dam lake in Algeria (avg: average, Min: Minimum, Max: maximum, VAR: Variance, BM: biomass, Dom: dominant value, N: number of fish SD: standard deviation)

Species	Month	Total length (cm)						Biomass (g)						N	BM total
		avg.	SD	Min.	Max.	Dom	Var.	avg.	SD	Min.	Max.	Dom	Var.		
<i>Barbus barbus</i>	oct.	38,9	11	15,9	52,4	42,8	129,5	645,58	377	36,89	1288	704,7	151261,7	17	10974,79
	nov.	44,1	5,7	23,4	52,4	42,8	33,8	805,89	243	113,5	1289	704,7	62058,9	21	16923,7
	déc.	41,2	5,8	33,2	51,4	42,8	36,8	664,91	267,3	330,7	1218	330,7	77390,4	13	8643,8
	janv.	39,4	5,8	28,4	52,4	42,8	35,3	587,04	253,2	207,6	1287	704,7	68364	16	9392,69
	Total	41,1	7,8	15,9	52,4	42,8	61	685,6	301,9	36,89	1289	704,7	92539,2	67	45934,98
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	oct.	34,4	8,8	18,7	52,49	38	83,1	792,6	620,4	88,7	2501	880,5	408957,1	17	13474,05
	nov.	36,2	5,1	25,1	46,05	38	15	803,63	341,2	231	1638	880,5	63641,6	15	12054,5
	déc.	35,1	3,4	30	38	38	12,8	705,97	198,7	407,9	880,5	880,5	44431,2	9	6353,8
	janv.	30	5,7	21,9	38	29,95	34,6	461,15	264,3	148,5	880,5	407,9	7466,8	16	7378,4
	Total	33,7	6,9	18,7	52,49	38	47,89	688,78	438,8	88,7	2501	880,5	195989,4	57	39260,75

<i>Carassius carassius</i>	oct.	30,2	4,8	22,9	39,6	,	26,6	522,98	250,9	217,3	1084	,	75949,2	8	4183,88
	nov.	34,3	3,8	28,8	43,7	36,2	15,6	733,3	242,1	425,4	1452	831,6	61680,8	20	14666,9
	déc.	34,7	6,3	16,6	43	36,2	42,25	798,4	326,6	83,8	1385	831,6	115552,4	13	10379,1
	janv.	34,7	5	28,2	43	43	26,5	761	347,1	3320	1385	831,6	129779,2	14	10654,1
	Total	33,9	5,2	16,6	43,7	36,2	27,14	725,16	306,5	83,8	1452	831,6	95655,3	55	39883,98
<i>Abramis brama</i>	oct.	23,2	10,4	13,7	42,78	,	134	284,97	232,5	65,98	704,7	,	67558	5	1424
	nov.	36,8	9	17	42,8	42,8	97,3	1102,4	628,9	128	1898	42,8	474627,8	6	6614,3
	déc.	34,8	3,1	31	38,6	38,6	10,9	1189,7	322,7	819,4	1590	38,6	159025,2	8	9517,4
	janv.	33,3	3,7	27,5	40	31	14,9	1060,7	370,4	577,6	1791	31	149661,5	12	12727,94
	Total	32,7	7,7	13,7	42,8	38,6	62	976,91	509,8	65,98	1898	819,4	268583,7	31	30283,64




Fig. 2. Monthly variation of the biomass of consumed fish by the great cormorant in Beni Haroun Dam Lake in Algeria. Insert legend, FMR= energy by a single cormorant per day.

DISCUSSIONS

The diet of the great cormorant wintering in Beni-Haroun dam lake in Algeria is entirely composed of fish that belong to the Cyprinidae family. In Lagoados Patos (Brazil), fish make up 99.84% of the diet's biomass of *Phalacrocorax brasilianus* (Barquete et al., 2008). Numerous studies indicate that the Cyprinidae family is dominant in the great cormorant's diet (90 to 99.3%) (Keller, 1995; Santoul et al., 2004; Carss and Ekins, 2002; Gagliardi et al., 2007). In Beni Haroun dam lake, *Barbus barbus* is considered the most common species in the great cormorant's diet, followed by *Cyprinus carpio* in terms of number and *Carassius carassius* in biomass. Frequency of occurrence (FO) and relative importance (IRI) data show that *Barbus barbus* and *Carassius carassius* are the most characteristic prey species in great cormorant's diet. While the *Abramis brama* species seems to be less consumed. Most studies indicate that the Cormorant's interest in a specific species of fish does not seem to exist or is not highlighted, and all depends on the availability of prey in the Bani Haroun dam. The most abundant fish are those raised by the Fisheries

Department of the State of Mila (Silver carp *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*, Common carp *Cyprinus carpio* Big Mouth carp *Aristichthys nobilis*). A study carried out in the Gorame river in Mulhouse (France) showed that the Cormorant consumed *Abramis brama* significantly (162 individuals), followed by the consumption of *Cyprinus carpio* and *Carassius carassius* with 5 and 6 individuals respectively (Santoul et al., 2004). In Great Britain, 3.8% of consumed fish's number, and 4.8% of the biomass belong to *Abramis brama* (Carss and Ekins, 2002), while in Dummer Lake (Germany), the Cormorant consumes *Abramis brama* at the rate of 1.22% in terms of number, and 2.87% in biomass (Emmrich and Düttmann, 2011). This difference is due to the fact that the Cormorant is considered an opportunistic predator (Magath et al., 2016; Buttu et al., 2013). The composition of the great cormorant's trophic menu depends largely on the available fish species, the more abundant they are, the more likely they are to be eaten (Enstipp et al., 2007). A study on the predation of Cormorants in a water tank in Southern Poland showed that *Cyprinus carpio* was consumed at 73.4%. This

species of fish appears to form more than 80% of the pond ichthyofauna (Opačak et al., 2004). The biotic and abiotic characteristics of aquatic environments, as well as the structure of the existing ichthyofauna, play an important role in the composition of the great cormorant's diet (Morat, 2007; Magathetal., 2016).

The great cormorant of Beni Haroun dam lake consumes fish ranging in length from 13.7 cm and 52.49 cm (Most are between 30cm and 39.6cm long). It is approximately the same consumed length by Cormorants of Great Britain which is between 8.7 and 44 cm (Carss and Ekins, 2002). In Northwest Italy, a study conducted on consumed fish by Cormorants shows dimensions between 18.7 cm and 94 cm, with a small presence of small fish (Delmastro et al., 2015). The great cormorant can eat both small and large fish. The fact that small fish are not present in great numbers in the menu of Cormorants in this study is linked to the gastric juices of this predator which degrade more easily the otoliths of small fish. The degradation of otolith by gastric acid has been confirmed in numerous studies, in our study, we assume that the small otoliths are degraded. The same was noted in Romania, through the analysis of the regurgitated pellet of great cormorants (Martucci et al., 1993).

The average biomass of consumed fish in Beni-Haroun dam lake varies between 36.89 and 2,501 g. Most of them had weights varying between 517.8 and 980 g. From October to December, the consumed biomass varies between 517.8 and 977.4 g, the latter seems to be decreasing in January. In the lakes and rivers of Bavaria in Southern Germany, the biomass of consumed fish by the Cormorant varies from 1 to 900 g (Keller, 1995). The length of the fish appears to affect the consumed biomass. It should also be noted that in northern Germany, in early spring and summer, the presence of small fish in great numbers in the river has an effect on the diet of the Cormorant, where small prey represents an average of 86.6% in the months of April, June, and August. Large prey dominates in May with 87% (Magath et al., 2016). The presence of large fish in the Cormorant's diet is also linked to the temperature of the water, when it decreases it affects the activity of fish that can be caught more easily (Čech et al., 2008). In January, the greater consumption of small fish compared to large fish is due to the fact that fish stay in the depths to take advantage of the heat, which makes them less accessible (Voslamber et al., 1995).

The biomass contained in a pellet varies from 330.7 g and 6,006.17 g (72.7% of the pellet have biomasses ranging from 330.7 to 2,953 g). The pellet with large biomass represents only 6% of the total number of pellets. Most studies show that the biomass in the pellet is linked to the quantity and type (biomass) of daily caught fish. In Southern Brazil, *Phalacrocorax brasilianus* consumes between 0.82 and 3,446.59 g per pellet, with an average of 372.28 (Barquete et al., 2008). In continental Italy, the average biomass pellet provides figures that vary between 284 and 371 g (Gagliardi et al., 2007). In addition, in Central-West

Sardinia, in Italy, the Cormorant consumes between 18 and 478.4 g of fish per day (Buttu et al., 2013). For the Cormorants wintering in Beni Haroun dam lake, there are between 1 to 9 fish per pellet, their weights vary between 36.89 g and 2 501 g. In Dummer Lake in Germany, Cormorants reject pellets that contain between 24.9 and 69.9 fish per pellet, whose weight varies between 160 and 320 g (Emmrich and Düttmann, 2011).

The biomass contained in the pellet varies monthly in the dam lake of the present study. In October, the recorded weights varied between 2378.7 g and 4619.66 g. The number of consumed fish per pellet during this month varies from 1 to 9 fish, an average of 4.6 fish. For the months of November and December, the average consumption varies between 2.53 and 3.15 fish per pellet with biomass varying between 330.7 g and 2,735.64 g. The consumed biomass largely depends on seasonal variations in water temperature and the ecology of fish (Santoul et al., 2004).

The FMR consumed by *Phalacrocorax brasilianus* is estimated at 2,007.37 kJ / D-1 and the daily consumption at 425.29 g (Barquete et al., 2008), which is slightly lower than the FMR consumed by the great cormorant of Beni Haroun dam lake in Algeria. In Chiemsee lake in Germany, the Cormorants in captivity consumed between 130 and 1325 kJ / D-1 with daily consumption of 341 g per day. The free cormorants consumed between 174 and 294 kJ / D-1, with daily consumption of 539 g per day (Keller et al., 2012).

At Beni-Haroun dam lake, the average daily consumption is 2,353.5 g and the one recorded per month is 185 t. In Overijssel, in the Northwest of the Netherlands, Cormorants consume 245 t during their period of presence (Veldkamp, 1995). In Dummer lake (Germany), they consumed 32.16 t (Emmrich and Düttmann, 2011). *Phalacrocorax brasilianus* consumes in Brazil each year between 119 and 132 t (Barquete et al., 2008). Finally, we can say that the biomass of consumed fish by the great cormorant of Beni-Haroun dam lake during its presence between October and January is quite high. This high consumption is mostly due to the large numbers of great cormorants, which may exceed 4,500 individuals in January (Belfethi and Moulai, 2018). Consequently, one can foresee effects on the fishing sector at the level of this dam and possible conflicts with fishermen.

CONCLUSIONS

The trophic menu of *Phalacrocorax carbo* in Beni Haroun, dam lake, in north-east of Algeria is based on four species of fish; *Abramis brama*, *Carassius carassius*, *Barbus barbus*, and *Cyprinus carpio*. However, *Barbus barbus* and *Carassius carassius* represent the two most important species in the great cormorant's diet. The total consumed biomass is 155 364.18 g, and the average biomass contained in each pellet varies between 330.7 and 2 953 g. The biomass of consumed fish varies between 36.89 g and 2 501 g. The size of the caught fish records values between 13.7 cm and 52.49 cm. The number of consumed fish per

pellet varies between 1 and 9. The results show that the great cormorant of Beni-Haroun Dam Lake consumes between 573 g and 2,353.3 g of fish per day and between 49.8 t and 185 t each month. However, the highest value is recorded in January (between 81.5 and 300.5 t). The great cormorant of Beni-Haroun dam lake could have a significant impact on continental and recreational fishing at this site. This first study on the trophic ecology of the great cormorant wintering in Algeria is worth pursuing in other dam lakes where the Cormorant is present in order to assess with precision, the potential impact that this bird can have on inland and recreational fishing, a growing activity in the country.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would particularly like to thank Pr. Philippe Béarez for his precious advices; the General Directorate of Scientific Research and Technological Development (DGRSDT), Ministry of Higher Education (Algeria); the director of Beni-Haroun dam lake and Mila forest conservation officers for their support on the field and Mrs. Zineb fafa for her linguistic corrections.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, M.R. methodology, B.L. and M.R.; data collection B.L. and M.R. data validation, B.L. and M.R.; data processing B.L. and M.R. writing—original draft preparation, B.L., and M.R.; writing—review and editing, M.R.

FUNDING

This research received no external funding.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- ANB, Barrage de Beni-Haroun sur l'oued Kebir, monographie volume 1, Rapport interne de l'agence nationale des Barrages (ANB), 2002.
- ANDI, Agence Nationale de Développement de l'Investissement. Rapport Interne, 2013.
- Amouei F, Valinassab T, Haitov A, Age determination and morphological study using otoliths in *Cyprinus carpio* Linnaeus, 1758 in the Southern Caspian Sea, Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences 12, 759–769, 2013.
- Barquete V, Bugoni L, Vooren CM, Diet of Neotropic cormorant (*Phalacrocorax brasilianus*) in an estuarine environment. *Mar Biol* 153, 431–443, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-007-0824-8>.
- Belfethi L, Moulai R, Aperçu sur la diversité et l'écologie de l'avifaune aquatique du barrage de Beni-Haroun (Mila, Algérie). *Proceedings/Actes du 1er congrès nord-africain d'ornithologie & 4^{ème} colloque international d'ornithologie algérienne*, LZA, Univ. Bejaia, 50–59, 2018.
- Billard R, Les Carpes : biologie et élevage, Editions Quae, 1995.
- Bobori DC, Moutopoulos DK, Bekri M, Salvarina I, Munoz AIP, Length-weight relationships of freshwater fish species caught in three Greek lakes, *Journal of Biological Research-Thessaloniki* 14, 219–224, 2010.
- Bostanci D, Otolith biometry-body length relationships in four fish species (chub, pikeperch, crucian carp, and common carp), *Journal of Freshwater Ecology* 24, 619–624, 2009.
- Buttu S, Mulas A, Palmas F, Cabiddu S, Diet of *Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis* (Aves, Phalacrocoracidae) and impact on fish stocks: a study case in Cabras and Mistras lagoons (Sardinia, Italy), 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1285/i1825229Xv7n2p17>.
- CARSS DN, EKINS GR, Further European integration: Mixed sub-species colonies of Great Cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo* in Britain: Colony establishment, diet, and implications for fisheries management, *Ardea* 90, 23–41, 2002.
- Čech M, Čech P, Kubečka J, Prchalová M, Drašík V, Size selectivity in summer and winter diets of great cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*): does it reflect season-dependent difference in foraging efficiency? *Waterbirds* 31, 438–448, 2008.
- Delmastro GB, Boano G, Conte PL, Fenoglio S, Great cormorant predation on cisalpine pike: a conservation conflict. *Eur J Wildl Res* 61, 743–748, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-015-0951-3>.
- DGF, Dénombrement hivernale des oiseaux d'eau en Algérie, Direction générale des forêts Rapport interne, 2013.
- Djeddi H, Kherief Nacereddine S, Keddari D, Afri-Mehennaoui FZ, Teneurs des éléments traces métalliques Cu, Zn et Pb des sédiments du barrage Béni Haroun (Nord-Est de l'Algérie), *European Scientific Journal*, 15: 7431, 2018.
- Ellis HI, Gabrielsen GW, Energetics of free-ranging seabirds, Pgs 359–407 in *Biology of Marine Birds*, EA Schreiber and J Burger. CRC Press, Boca Raton FL, 2002.
- Emmrich M, Düttmann H, Seasonal shifts in diet composition of Great Cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis* foraging at a shallow eutrophic inland lake. *Ardea* 99, 207–217, 2011.
- Enstipp MR, Jones DR, Lorentsen SH, Grémillet D, Energetic costs of diving and prey-capture capabilities in cormorants and shags (Phalacrocoracidae) underline their unique adaptation to the aquatic environment, *J Ornithol* 148, 593–600, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-007-0203-8>.
- Gagliardi A, Martinoli A, Preatoni D, Wauters LA, Tosi G, From mass of body elements to fish biomass: a direct method to quantify food intake of fish eating birds, *Hydrobiologia* 583, 213–222, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-006-0528-y>.

- Keller T, Food of cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis* wintering in Bavaria, southern Germany, *Ardea* 83, 185–192, 1995.
- Keller V, Antoniazza M, Mosimann-Kampe P, Rapin P, Grand cormoran *Phalacrocorax Carbo* en suisse (2001-2010), *Nos Oiseaux* 59, 3–10, 2012.
- Khristenko DS, Kotovska GO, Length-weight relationship and condition factors of freshwater bream *Abramisbrama* (Linnaeus, 1758) from the Kremenchug Reservoir, Middle Dnieper, *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 17, 71–77, 2017.
- Klimaszuk P, Rzymiski P, The complexity of ecological impacts induced by great cormorants. *Hydrobiologia* 771, 13–30, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-0152618-1>.
- Kontas S, Bostanci D, Morphological and Biometrical Characteristics on Otolith of *Barbusauricus* Kessler, 1877 on Light and Scanning Electron Microscope, *International Journal of Morphology* 33, 1380–1385, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S071795022015000400032>
- Lebreton JD, Gerdeaux D, Gestion des populations de Grand cormoran *Phalacrocorax carbo* séjournant en France. Unpublished report, CEFE/CNRS, Montpellier, France, 1996.
- Liordos V, Goutner V, Sex Determination of Great Cormorants (*Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis*) Using Morphometric Measurements, *Waterbirds, The International Journal of Waterbird Biology* 31, 203–210, 2008.
- Magath V, Abraham R, Helbing U, Thiel R, Link between estuarine fish abundances and prey choice of the great cormorant *Phalacrocorax carbo* (Aves, Phalacrocoracidae), *Hydrobiologia* 763, 313–327, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-0152384-0>
- Martucci O, Pietrelli L, Consiglio C, Fish otoliths as indicators of the cormorant *Phalacrocorax carbo* diet (Aves, Pelecaniformes), *Italian Journal of Zoology* 60, 393–396, 1993.
- Morat F, Régime alimentaire de la population de cormoran huppé de Méditerranée (*Phalacrocorax aristotelides marsestii*) de Riou, Marseille, CEEP and Centre d'Océanologie de Marseille 23, 2007.
- Opačak A, Florijančić T, Horvat D, Ozimec S, Bodakoš D, Diet spectrum of great cormorants (*Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis*) at the Donji Miholjac carp fishponds in eastern Croatia, *Eur J Wildl Res* 50, 173–178, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-004-0059-7>
- Prokeš M, Šovčík P, Peňáz M, Baruš V, Spurný P, Vilizzi L, Growth of barbel, *Barbusbarbus*, in the River Jihlava following major habitat alteration and estimated by two methods. *Folia Zool.* 55(1), 12, 2006.
- Santoul F, Hougas JB, Green AJ, Mastrorillo, S, Diet of great cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis* wintering in Malause (South-West France), *Archiv für hydrobiologie* 160, 281–287, 2004.
- Veldkamp R, Diet of cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis* at Wanneperveen, the Netherlands, with special reference to bream *Abramisbrama*. *Ardea* 83, 143–155, 1995.
- Voslamber B, Platteeuw M, Van Eerden MR, Solitary foraging in sand pits by breeding cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis*: does specialised knowledge about fishing sites and fish behaviour pay off, *Ardea* 83, 199–212, 1995.
- Warke GMA, Day KR, Changes in abundance of cyprinid and percid prey affect rate of predation by cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo* on salmon *salmosalar* smolt in northern ireland, *Ardea* 83, 157–166, 1995.
- Yilmaz S, Yazicioğlu O, Yazici R, Polat N, Relationships between fish length and otolith size for five cyprinid species from Lake Ladik, Samsun, Turkey, *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 39, 438–446, 2015.